arXiv:1606.00697v1 [math.CO] 2 Jun 2016

# On resolvable Steiner 2-designs and maximal arcs in projective planes

Vladimir D. Tonchev*
Michigan Technological University
Houghton, Michigan 49931, USA

June 3, 2016

*In Honor of Andries Brouwer's 65th Birthday*

**Abstract**

A combinatorial characterization of resolvable Steiner 2-$(v, k, 1)$ designs embeddable as maximal arcs in a projective plane of order $(v-k)/(k-1)$ is proved, and a generalization of a conjecture by Andries Brouwer [9] is formulated.

## 1 Introduction

We assume familiarity with basic facts and notions from combinatorial design theory [2], [4], [12], [27].

Let $D = \{X, \mathcal{B}\}$ be a Steiner 2-$(v, k, 1)$ design with point set $X$, collection of blocks $\mathcal{B}$, and let $v$ be a multiple of $k$: $v = nk$. Since every point of $X$ is contained in

$$r = (v-1)/(k-1) = (nk-1)/(k-1)$$

blocks, it follows that $k-1$ divides $n-1$. Thus, $n-1 = s(k-1)$ for some integer $s \geq 1$, and

$$v = nk = (sk - s + 1)k.$$

*Research supported by NSA Grant H98230-16-1-0011.

A *parallel class* (or *spread*) is a set of $v/k = n$ pairwise disjoint blocks, and a *resolution* of $D$ is a partition of the collection of blocks $\mathcal{B}$ into $r = (v-1)/(k-1) = sk+1$ parallel classes. A design is *resolvable* if it admits a resolution.

Any 2-$((sk-s+1)k, k, 1)$ design with $s = 1$ is equivalent to an affine plane of order $k$, and admits exactly one resolution. If $s > 1$, a resolvable 2-$((sk-s+1)k, k, 1)$ design may admit more than one resolution.

A property of resolvable Steiner 2-designs having several resolutions, that has attracted considerable attention, is *orthogonality* (see [4, page 31], [12, Section II.7.7], [14], [21], and the references within): two resolutions $R_1$, $R_2$,

$$R_1 = P_1^{(1)} \cup P_2^{(1)} \cup \cdots P_r^{(1)}, \ R_2 = P_1^{(2)} \cup P_2^{(2)} \cup \cdots P_r^{(2)} \tag{1}$$

are called *orthogonal* if

$$|P_i^{(1)} \cap P_j^{(2)}| \leq 1, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq r,$$

that is, every two parallel classes $P_i^{(1)}$, $P_j^{(2)}$, one from each resolution, share at most one block.

The subject of this paper is a concept which is somewhat similar, but yet different from orthogonality. We call two resolutions $R_1$, $R_2$ (1) *compatible* if they share one parallel class,

$$P_i^{(1)} = P_j^{(2)},$$

and

$$|P_{i'}^{(1)} \cap P_{j'}^{(1)}| \leq 1$$

for $i' \neq i$ and $j' \neq j$.

More generally, a set of $m$ resolutions $R_1, \ldots, R_m$ is compatible if every two of these resolutions are compatible.

Sets of mutually compatible resolutions arise naturally in resolvable Steiner designs associated with maximal arcs in projective planes [2, Section 8.4], [10].

In this paper, we prove an upper bound on the maximum number of mutually compatible resolutions, and give a characterization of the case when this maximum is achieved.

## 2 An upper bound on the number of mutually compatible resolutions

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is a projective plane of order $q = sk$. A *maximal* $\{(sk - s + 1)k; k\}$-*arc* [16], [25, p. 558], is a set $\mathcal{A}$ of $(sk - s + 1)k$ points of $\mathcal{P}$ such that every line of $\mathcal{P}$ is ether disjoint from $\mathcal{A}$ or meets $\mathcal{A}$ in exactly $k$ points. The set of lines of $\mathcal{P}$ which have no points in common with $\mathcal{A}$ determines a maximal $\{(sk - k + 1)s; s\}$-arc $\mathcal{A}^{\perp}$ in the dual plane $\mathcal{P}^{\perp}$.

A maximal arc with $k = 2$ is called a *hyperoval* (or oval). Maximal arcs, and hyperovals in particular, have been studied in connection with the construction of projective planes [2, Section 8.4], [10], [20], [26], and partial geometries [25].

Maximal arcs with $1 < k < q$ do not exist in any Desarguesian plane of odd order $q$ [3], and are known to exist in every Desarguesian plane of even order $q$ [13], for any $k = 2^i,\ k < q$, as well as in some non-Desarguesian planes of even order [15], [24].

If $k > 1$, the non-empty intersections of a maximal $\{(sk - s + 1)k; k\}$-arc $\mathcal{A}$ with the lines of a projective plane $\mathcal{P}$ of order $q = sk$ are the blocks of a resolvable 2-$((sk - s + 1)k, k, 1)$ design $D$. Similarly, if $s > 1$, the corresponding $\{(sk - k + 1)s; s\}$-arc $\mathcal{A}^{\perp}$ in the dual plane is the point set of a resolvable 2-$((sk - k + 1)s, s, 1)$ design $D^{\perp}$. We will refer to $D$ (resp. $D^{\perp}$) as a design embeddable in $\mathcal{P}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}^{\perp}$) as a maximal arc. The points of $D^{\perp}$ determine a set of $(sk - k + 1)s$ mutually compatible resolutions of $D$. Respectively, the points of $D$ determine a set of $(sk - s + 1)k$ mutually compatible resolutions of $D^{\perp}$.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let $S = \{R_1, \ldots, R_m\}$ be a set of $m$ mutually compatible resolutions of a 2-$((sk - s + 1)k, k, 1)$ design $D = \{X, \mathcal{B}\}$. Then*

$$m \leq (sk - k + 1)s.$$

*The equality*

$$m = (sk - k + 1)s$$

*holds if and only if there exists a projective plane $\mathcal{P}$ of order $sk$ such that $D$ is embeddable in $\mathcal{P}$ as a maximal $\{(sk - s + 1)k; k\}$-arc.*

**Proof**. It is straightforward to check that if $\mathcal{P}$ is a projective plane of order $sk$ in which $D$ is embedded as a maximal $\{(sk - s + 1)k; k\}$-arc, then

the points of the corresponding maximal $\{(sk-k+1)s; s\}$-arc in the dual plane $\mathcal{P}^{\perp}$ define a set of $(sk-k+1)s$ mutually compatible resolutions of $D$.

To prove the converse, we consider the simple incidence structure $\mathcal{I}$ having as "points" the blocks of $D$, that is, having $\mathcal{B}$ as a point set, and having blocks of size

$$v/k = n = sk - s + 1$$

being the parallel classes of $D$ which appear in resolutions of $S$. Let $r_i$ denote the number of blocks of $\mathcal{I}$ containing the $i$th point of $\mathcal{I}$.

A point of $D$ is contained in

$$r = sk + 1$$

blocks, and the total number $b$ of blocks of $D$ is equal to

$$b = \frac{vr}{k} = (sk - s + 1)(sk + 1).$$

Any block of $D$ is disjoint from exactly

$$b - 1 - k(r-1) = s(sk - k + 1)(k - 1)$$

other blocks of $D$. It follows that every block of $D$ is contained in at most

$$\frac{b - 1 - k(r-1)}{\frac{v}{k} - 1} = sk - k + 1$$

parallel classes of $D$ which appear in resolutions from $S$, that is,

$$r_i \leq sk - k + 1.$$

Let $b_I$ denote the number of blocks of $\mathcal{I}$. Counting in two ways the incident pairs of a block and a point of $\mathcal{I}$ gives

$$b_I(\frac{v}{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{b} r_i \leq b(sk - k + 1) = (sk - s + 1)(sk + 1)(sk - k + 1),$$

hence

$$b_I \leq (sk + 1)(sk - k + 1), \tag{2}$$

and the equality $b_I = (sk + 1)(sk - k + 1)$ holds if and only if

$$r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_b = sk - k + 1.$$

Now we define an incidence structure $D^\perp$ on a set $X^\perp$ of $m$ points labeled by the $m$ compatible resolutions $R_1, \ldots, R_m$ from $S = \{R_1, \ldots, R_m\}$. The "blocks" of $D^\perp$ are labeled by the parallel classes of $D$ which appear in resolutions from $S$, that is, by the blocks of $\mathcal{I}$. By definition, a point $D^\perp$ labeled by $R_i$ is incident with $r$ blocks of $D^\perp$ which are labeled by the $r$ blocks of $\mathcal{I}$ being the parallel classes of $D$ appearing in the resolution $R_i$. Since every two resolutions $R_i$, $R_j$ $(i \neq j)$ of $S$ share exactly one parallel class, every two distinct points of $D^\perp$ appear together in exactly one block of $D^\perp$.

Let $k_j$ $(1 \leq j \leq b_I)$ denote the size of the $j$th block of $D^\perp$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{b_I} k_j &= mr, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{b_I} k_j(k_j - 1) &= m(m-1), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b_I} k_j^2 = m(m-1+r),$$

$$(\sum_{j=1}^{b_I} k_j)^2 = m^2 r^2 \leq b_I \sum_{j=1}^{b_I} k_j^2 = b_I m(m-1+r),$$

and

$$mr^2 \leq b_I(m-1+r).$$

Applying the inequality (2), we have

$$mr^2 \leq (sk+1)(sk-k+1)(m-1+r). \tag{3}$$

After the substitution $r = sk+1$, inequality (3) simplifies to

$$m \leq (sk-k+1)s. \tag{4}$$

Now assume that equality holds in (4), that is,

$$m = (sk-k+1)s,$$

which is possible only if $b_I$ meets the equality in (2), that is,

$$b_I = (sk+1)(sk-k+1) = r(sk-k+1) = rn.$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b_I}(k_j - s)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{b_I} k_j^2 - 2s\sum_{j=1}^{b_I} k_j + s^2 b_I = 0,$$

thus, all blocks of $D^\perp$ are of size $s$, and $D^\perp$ is a 2-$((sk-k+1)s, s, 1)$ design.

Suppose that $m = (sk-k+1)s$. We define an incidence structure $\mathcal{P}$ with points labeled by the $v = (sk-s+1)k$ points of $D$ and the

$$b^\perp = b_I = (sk+1)(sk-k+1)$$

blocks of $D^\perp$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}$ has

$$\bar{v} = v + b^\perp = (sk-s+1)k + (sk+1)(sk-k+1) = (sk)^2 + sk + 1$$

points.

The blocks of $\mathcal{P}$ are of two types. The blocks of the first type are

$$b = (s(k-1)+1)(sk+1)$$

blocks, each being a union of a block $B$ of $D$ with the block of the dual structure of $\mathcal{I}$, associated with the point of $\mathcal{I}$ labeled by $B$. Each such block is of size

$$k + (sk-k+1) = sk+1 = r.$$

The blocks of the second type are also of size $r = sk+1$ and are labeled by the $m = (sk-k+1)s$ points of $D^\perp$, and coincide with the blocks of the dual structure of $D^\perp$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}$ has

$$\bar{b} = b + m = (sk)^2 + sk + 1$$

blocks.

Since every two points of $D^\perp$ appear in exactly one block of $D^\perp$, every two blocks of $\mathcal{P}$ of the second type intersect in exactly one point. Since the $r$ blocks of $D^\perp$ through a point of $D^\perp$ correspond to a parallel class of blocks of $\mathcal{I}$, every block of $\mathcal{P}$ of the first type meets every block of the second type in exactly one point.

To determine how pairs of blocks of the first type intersect, we consider the block graph $\Gamma$ of $D$, that is, the vertices of $\Gamma$ are labeled by the blocks of $D$, where two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding blocks are not disjoint. The graph $\Gamma$ is strongly regular with parameters

$$(b, a, \lambda, \mu),$$

where $b = (s(k-1)+1)(sk+1)$ is the number of vertices,

$$a = k(r-1) = sk^2$$

is the degree of each vertex, and

$$\lambda = (r-2) + (k-1)^2 = k(k+s-2),\ \mu = k^2.$$

The complementary graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ is strongly regular of degree

$$\bar{a} = b - 1 - a = s^2k^2 + 2sk - s^2k - k^2s - s.$$

The $b_I = (sk+1)(sk-k+1)$ blocks of $\mathcal{I}$, being parallel classes of $D$ belonging to resolutions of $S$, are cocliques in $\Gamma$ of size

$$\frac{v}{k} = n = sk - s + 1.$$

By the property of $S$, every two blocks of $\mathcal{I}$ can share at most one point, hence the corresponding $(sk-k+1)$-cocliques of $\Gamma$, can share at most one vertex. Viewed as $(sk-k+1)$-cliques of $\bar{\Gamma}$, the blocks of $\mathcal{I}$ cover

$$b_I \binom{sk-k+1}{2} = \frac{(sk+1)(sk-k+1)(sk-s+1)(sk-s)}{2}$$

edges of $\bar{\Gamma}$, which is equal to the total number of edges of $\bar{\Gamma}$. It follows that every two blocks of $\mathcal{P}$ of the first type share exactly one point. Thus, $\mathcal{P}$ is a projective plane of order $sk$ in which $D$ is embedded as a maximal $\{(sk-s+1)k; k\}$-arc.

This completes the proof.

**Remark 2.2** It is an interesting open question whether the results from this section can be generalized to designs having $m$ mutually compatible resolutions, with $m$ slightly smaller than $(sk-k+1)s$, in the spirit of the results by A. Beutelspacher and K. Metsch on partial projective planes [8], [7].

# 3 On a conjecture by Andries Brouwer

It is conceivable that a resolvable 2-$((sk - s + 1)k, k, 1)$ design admitting a set of mutually compatible resolutions that achieves the bound of Theorem 2.1 possesses a high degree of symmetry. One measure of symmetry is the $p$-rank of the incidence matrix over a finite field of characteristic $p$ that divides $r - 1 = sk$, which is the order of the related projective plane.

The special case $s = 2,\ k = 2^{t-1},\ t \geq 2$ corresponds to projective planes of order $2^t$. A 2-$(2^{2t-1} - 2^{t-1}, 2^{t-1}, 1)$ design arising from a maximal $(2^{2t-1} - 2^{t-1}; 2^{t-1})$-arc $\mathcal{A}$ is called an *oval* design [2, 8.4], in reference to the fact that the corresponding maximal $(2^t+2; 2)$-arc $\mathcal{A}^\perp$ in the dual plane is a hyperoval. The 2-rank of oval designs in $PG(2^t, 2)$ has been studied extensively. In 1989 Mackenzie [22] (see also [2, Theorem 8.4.1], [18]) proved that the 2-rank of any oval design in $PG(2^t, 2)$ is bounded from above by $3^t - 2^t$. It was conjectured by Assmus that this upper bound is always achievable. This conjecture was proved consequently by Carpenter [11], by using a result by Blokhuis and Moorhouse [8].

In the smallest case, $t = 2$, a maximal $(6; 2)$-arc ($s = k = 2$) in the plane of order 4 and its dual plane is a hyperoval, yielding the unique trivial 2-$(6, 2, 1)$ design. The next case, $t = 3$, corresponds to the projective plane of order 8, which contains only one class (up to projective equivalence) of hyperovals, or $(10; 2)$-arcs, and consequently, one (up to isomorphism) maximal $(28; 4)$-arc, yielding a 2-$(28, 4, 1)$ oval design.

Designs with the latter parameters, 2-$(28, 4, 1)$, have been the subject of several papers ([1], [5], [9], [17], [19], [23]). According to the Handbook of Combinatorial Designs [12, page 37], there are at least 4,747 known nonisomorphic designs with these parameters, and all designs possessing nontrivial automorphisms have been classified (Krćadinac [19]). The more recent publication by Al-Azemi, Anton Betten, and Dieter Betten [1] gives a much bigger number of nonisomorphic 2-$(28, 4, 1)$ designs, namely, 68,806 such designs, all having a blocking set, and among those, 68,484 designs have a trivial automorphism group.

In [9], Andries Brouwer investigated the embeddability of 2-$(28, 4, 1)$ designs as unitals in projective planes of order 9. The 2-ranks of the 138 designs examined by Brouwer in [9] ranged between 19 and 27, with the exception of 2-rank 20. The minimum 2-rank, 19, was achieved by a design being the smallest member of the family of Ree unitals, and one of the two 2-$(28, 4, 1)$ designs having 2-transitive automorphism groups (the second being the clas-

sical Hermitian unital, having 2-rank 21). It was shown in [17] that there are no 2-$(28, 4, 1)$ designs of 2-rank 20, and there are exactly four nonisomorphic designs of 2-rank 21, one being the classical Hermitian unital.

It turns out that the 2-$(28, 4, 1)$ Ree unital is isomorphic to the oval design in the plane of order 8, $PG(2^3, 2)$.

Brouwer [9] made the conjecture that 19 is the minimum 2-rank of any 2-$(28, 4, 1)$ design, and this minimum is achieved by the Ree unital only. This conjecture was proved to be true by McGuire, Tonchev and Ward [23].

Taking into account Carpenter's result about the 2-rank of oval designs in $PG(2^t, 2)$ [11], it is tempting to believe that the following generalization of Brouwer's conjecture is true.

**Conjecture 3.1** . *If $\mathcal{D}$ is a 2-$(2^{2t-1} - 2^{t-1}, 2^{t-1}, 1)$ design ($t \geq 2$), with an incidence matrix $A$, then*

$$rank_2(A) \geq 3^t - 2^t,$$

*and the equality*

$$rank_2(A) = 3^t - 2^t$$

*holds if and only if $\mathcal{D}$ is embeddable as a maximal $(2^{2t-1} - 2^{t-1}; 2^{t-1})$-arc in $PG(2^t, 2)$.*

The conjecture is trivially true for $t = 2$, and its validity for $t = 3$ follows from the results of [23].

# 4 Acknowledgments

The author wish to thank the anonymous referees for carefully reading the manuscript and suggesting several improvements, including the open question formulated in Remark 2.2.

# References

[1] A. Al-Azemi, A. Betten, D. Betten, Unital designs with blocking sets, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **163** (2014), Part 2, 102-112.

[2] E. F. Assmus, Jr., J. D. Key, *Designs and their codes*, Cambridge, 1992.

[3] S. Ball, A. Blokhuis, and F. Mazzocca, Maximal arcs in Desarguesian planes of odd order do not exist, *Combinatorica*, **17** (1997), 31-41.

[4] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, *Design theory (2nd edition)*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[5] A. Betten, D. Betten, and V. D. Tonchev, Unitals and codes, *Discrete Math.*, **267** (2003), 23-33.

[6] A. Beutelspacher and K. Metsch, Embedding finite linear spaces in projective planes, Combinatorics '84, Bari, 1984, pp. 39-56, North-Holland Math. Stud., 123, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

[7] A. Beutelspacher and K. Metsch, Embedding finite linear spaces in projec- tive planes. II, *Discrete Math.* **66** (1987), no. 3, 219 - 230.

[8] A. Blokhuis and G. E. Moorhouse, Some p-ranks related to orthogonal spaces, *J. Alg. Combinatorics*, **4** (1995), 295-316.

[9] A. E. Brouwer, Some unitals and their embeddings in projective planes of order 9, in: *Geometries and Groups*, M. Aigner and D. Jungnickel, eds., *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **893** (1981), 183-188.

[10] R. H. Bruck, Construction problems in finite projective spaces, In: *Finite Geometric Structures and their Applications*, A. Barlotti, editor, C.I.M.E., Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, 1973, pp. 105-188.

[11] L. L. Carpenter, Oval designs in Desarguesian projective planes, *Designs, Codes, and Cryptography*, **9** (1996), 51-59.

[12] C. J. Colbourn, J. F. Dinitz, eds., *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, Second Edition, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2007.

[13] R. H. F. Denniston, Some maximal arcs in finite projective planes, *J. Combin. Theory*, **6** (1969), 317-319.

[14] R. Fuji-Hara and S. A. Vanstone, The Existence of Orthogonal Resolutions of Lines in $AG(n, q)$, *J. Combin. Theory*, A **45** (1987), 139-147.

[15] N. Hamilton, S. D. Stoichev, and V. D. Tonchev, Maximal arcs and disjoint maximal arcs in projective planes of order 16, *J. Geometry* **67** (2000), 117-126.

[16] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields (2nd edition)*, Oxford University Press (1998).

[17] D. B. Jaffe and V.D. Tonchev, Computing linear codes and unitals, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, **14** (1998), 39-52.

[18] J. D. Key and K. Mackenize, Ovals in the designs $W(2m)$, *Ars Combinatoria*, **33** (1992), 113-117.

[19] V. Krćadinac, Steiner 2-designs $S(2, 4, 28)$ with nontrivial automorphisms, *Glas. Mat.*, III **37(57)**, (2002), 259-268.

[20] C. W. H. Lam, The search for a finite projective plane of order 10, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **98** (1991), 305-318.

[21] E. R. Lamken and S. A. Vanstone, Designs With Mutually Orthogonal Resolutions, *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, **7** (1986), 249-257.

[22] K. Mackenzie, *Codes and Designs*, PhD Thesis, University of Birmingham, 1989.

[23] G. McGuire, V. D. Tonchev, H. N. Ward, Characterizing the Hermitian and Ree unitals on 28 points, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, **13** (1998), 57-61.

[24] T. Penttila, G. F. Royle, and M. K. Simpson, Hyperovals in the known projective planes of order 16, *J. Combin. Designs*, **4**, No. 1 )1996), 59-65.

[25] J. A. Thas, Partial Geometries, in: *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz, eds., pp. 557-562.

[26] J. G. Thompson, Fixed point free involutions and finite projective planes, In: *Finite Simple Groups II*, M. J. Collins, editor, Academic Press, New York, p[p. 321 - 337.

[27] V.D. Tonchev: *Combinatorial Configurations*, Longman- Wiley, New York (1988).